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DISCUSSION/BACKGROUND:

PROPOSAL/ACTION RECOMMENDATION:
It is recommended the Commission approve the minutes of the February 10, 2015, Commission meeting.
COMMISSION ACTION:

## 1. New Funding Bill

Director Paul Trombino III said a lot of the conversation the Commission has had over the last two days and the Department has worked on, especially over the last few weeks, has been the new funding bill which went into effect March 1. It substantially changes all of our program items across each fiscal year for the next five to ten years and gives the Commission flexibility to address a lot of needs across the state.

Director Trombino said a key component will be tracking the dollars. We are going to show where the projects are and where the dollars go so that the legislators, Governor, and citizens can see where the funds are actually being spent across the state as we move forward through this and future programs.

Commission Chair Rose said we want to be accountable. We have spent a couple extra days already making sure we will spend the money wisely. This was a grass roots effort by hundreds of folks that worked with our legislators, and it was a difficult task for legislators. They did it and it is exciting to think about where we are going; it is exciting for all lowans.

## 2. Reappointment of Commissioner David Rose

Commission Chair Rose expressed appreciation to everyone who wrote letters of support for his reappointment.

# DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION <br> COMMISSION ORDER 

Division/Bureau/Office Highway Division/Systems Operations/Traffic and Safety Order No. H-2015-42
Submitted by Brooks Glasnapp Phone No. 515-239-1255 Meeting Date March 10, 2015

Title Administrative Rules 761 IAC 116 Junkyard Control

DISCUSSION/BACKGROUND:
The Federal Highway Beautification Act of 1965 required the states to control junkyards along the interstates and Primary Highway System, or suffer a 10 percent penalty on their annual highway funds. In 1991, language within a federal highway reauthorization bill lifted the requirement to control junkyards on anything other than the interstate system. Several states, including Iowa, dropped the control of junkyards on any highway not classified as part of the interstate system.

In 2012, a federal highway authorization bill inserted a requirement to control junkyards along the National Highway System, which includes most of the major state highways, and a few major arterial routes under municipal control. States must now adopt junkyard control measures for the National Highway System or risk a 7 percent (revised from 10 percent) reduction in annual highway funds. In Iowa's case, this was recently calculated to be $\$ 32$ million.

Iowa Code 306C, which contains Iowa's junkyard control law, was amended to reflect these changes in 2014. The revision of the rule will now be necessary to implement the statutory changes.

EFFECT:
The general purpose of the law remains the same; junkyards visible to controlled highway systems should be screened. Exceptions exist for junkyards located within industrial areas, areas not visible, and areas beyond 1,000 feet of the highway. Junkyards in existence at the time of the effective date of this rule revision will be grandfathered. Screening measures will be necessary only if illegal sites develop post-effective date, or if owners of existing junkyards expand in areas that are not industrial. The department will conduct an inventory of the National Highway System to establish a baseline and assign a status for each junkyard in existence.

## COMMENT PERIOD:

No comments or requests for oral presentations were received during the public comment period.

## REVISION OF NOTICED RULE:

The implementation sentences within rules 116.2 (306C) and 116.3 (306C) were updated to remove references to 2014 Iowa Acts

## PROPOSAL/ACTION RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended the Commission approve the attached rule amendments.
COMMISSION ACTION:

Brooks Glasnapp, Office of Traffic and Safety, said Iowa’s junkyard control law contained in lowa Code 306C was revised last year to provide control of junkyards along the National Highway System. Currently, junkyards are controlled only along the interstate system. The National Highway System includes the Interstate system, many state highways and a few major arterial routes on local systems. Revision of rule 116 will implement the change in the lowa Code. This revision is necessary to retain existing levels of federal funding for highways. No comments were received during the public comment period. He requested Commission approval of this rule revision.

Commissioner Reasner moved, Commissioner Yanney seconded the Commission approve the rule amendments shown in the commission order. All voted aye.

ITEM 1. Amend rule 761-116.1(306C), definition of "Adjacent area," as follows:
"Adjacent area" means an area which is contiguous to and within 1,000 feet of the nearest edge of the right-of-way of an interstate highway any highway on the national highway system.

ITEM 2. Adopt the following new definition of "Nonconforming junkyard" in rule 761116.1(306C):
"Nonconforming junkyard" means a junkyard which continues to be legally maintained, but which does not meet any of the exceptions in subrule 116.2(2), and which was lawfully established:

1. Prior to July 1,1972 , and is located within the adjacent area of an interstate highway.
2. Prior to May 6, 2015, and is located within the adjacent area of a noninterstate highway on the national highway system.
3. Prior to the effective date of a zoning change which caused nonconformity with these rules.
4. Prior to the departure or closure of an industrial activity which caused nonconformity with these rules.
5. Prior to the establishment of a highway as part of the national highway system.

Item 3. Amend rule 761—116.2(306C) as follows:

## 761-116.2(306C) Junkyards prohibited-exceptions.

## 116.2(1) Prohibitions.

a. After July 1, 1972, a person shall not establish, operate, or maintain a junkyard any portion of which is within the adjacent area and is visible from the main traveled way of any interstate highway. except:
b. After May 6, 2015, a person shall not establish, operate, or maintain a junkyard any portion of which is within the adjacent area of a highway on the national highway system.
116.2(2) Exceptions. Junkyards that are prohibited in subrule $116.2(1)$ shall be allowed if they meet one or more of the following criteria:
t. a. A The junkyard which is screened by natural objects, plantings, fences, or other appropriate means.
2. $\underline{b}$. A The junkyard which is located within an industrial zone.
3. $\underline{c}$. A The junkyard which is located within an unzoned industrial area.
4. $\underline{d}$. A The junkyard which is not visible from the main traveled portion of the highway.

This rule is intended to implement Iowa Code section 306C.2.

ITEM 4. Amend rule 761-116.3(306C) as follows:

## 761-116.3(306C) Screening or removal.

116.3(1) Lawfully established junkyards that subsequently become nonconforming. Nonconforming junkyards. Any junkyard, except those junkyards which meet the requirements of rule 116.2 (306C), that was lawfully in existence on July 1, 1972, and any junkyard that was lawfully established but subsequently becomes nonconforming due to changed conditions, such as a change in zoning or being located upon land adjacent to any highway or land made an interstate highway after July 1, 1972, shall be screened, if feasible, or removed by the department. Nonconforming junkyards which do not meet any of the exceptions in subrule 116.2(2) shall be screened by the department, if feasible, or removed by the department. However, this requirement is conditioned on the availability of participating federal funds for this purpose and a determination by the department that such funds are adequate for this purpose. Prior to the date of the installation of screening or of removal, a nonconforming junkyard may continue in existence unscreened, provided the portion visible to the main traveled way is not increased in height, width, or length. This subrule shall not abrogate any other more restrictive state or local law or regulation which governs the screening, licensing, operation or existence of the junkyard.
116.3(2) Junkyards established after July 1, 1972. Owner requirements. Any junkyard established and any pertion of any junkyard expanded after July 1, 1972, and any junkyard abandoned or discontinued, except those junkyards or any portion of any junkyard which meets the requirements of rule $116.2(306 \mathrm{C})$, shall be sereened or removed by the owner at no expense to the department. Required sereening shall be maintained by the owner at the owner's expense so long as the junkyard remains subject to these rules. The following junkyards shall be screened or removed by the owner at no expense to the department:
a. Junkyards established after July 1, 1972, and located within the adjacent area of an interstate highway, but which do not meet any of the exceptions in subrule 116.2(2).
b. Junkyards established after May 6, 2015, and located within the adjacent area of a noninterstate highway on the national highway system, but which do not meet any of the exceptions in subrule 116.2(2).
c. Nonconforming junkyards of which portions visible to the main traveled way have increased in height, width, or length since the date the junkyard became nonconforming.

This rule is intended to implement Iowa Code section 306C.3.

## COMMISSION ORDER

| Division/Bureau/Office Office of Program Management |  |  |  | Order No. PPM-2015-43 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Submited by Stuart Anderson Phone No. 515-239-1661 |  |  |  | Meeting D | March 10, 2015 |
| 2015-2019 Iowa Transportation Improvement Program Amendment |  |  |  |  |  |

## DISCUSSION/BACKGROUND:

A proposed amendment to the highway section of the 2015-2019 Iowa Transportation Improvement Program (Program) will be presented. The proposed amendment will allow the addition of highway and bridge projects with an estimated cost of $\$ 33.2$ million to fiscal year 2015 of the Program. This includes two noninterstate bridge modernization projects; four interstate construction projects; one safety project; and two noninterstate pavement modernization projects, one of which is a statewide project that will address spot locations across Iowa that have immediate needs for rehabilitation.

The amendment also includes funding to purchase right of way for one new noninterstate capacity/system enhancement project. The addition of funding to purchase right of way for the improvement of U.S. 20 from Correctionville to the west junction of U.S. 59 will permit accelerated development of remaining sections of the U.S. 20 corridor in western Iowa.

This Program amendment is possible because of the additional revenue generated in FY 2015 due to Senate File 257 that was signed into law on February 25, 2015.

The construction projects included in this amendment are planned to begin in calendar year 2015.
A list of project changes recommended for the highway section of Program is attached.

## PROPOSAL/ACTION RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended the Commission approve changes to the 2015-2019 Iowa Transportation Improvement Program as listed. It is also recommended the Commission approve the use of condemnation, if necessary, to acquire property for the projects included in this amendment.


PPM-2015-43
Stuart Anderson, Planning, Programming and Modal Division, said yesterday staff and Commission reviewed a draft 2015-2019 lowa Transportation Improvement Program amendment. This amendment is possible because of the funding bill passed by the legislature and signed by Governor Branstad at the end of February. This amendment adds $\$ 33.2$ million to fiscal year 2015. The $\$ 33.2$ million is based on the additional revenues forecast to accrue to the Primary Road Fund yet in fiscal year 2015. The amendment includes two non-interstate bridge modernization projects, four interstate construction projects, one safety project, and two non-interstate pavement modernization projects; one of which is a statewide project that will address spot locations across lowa that have immediate needs for rehabilitation. The amendment also includes funding to purchase right of way for one non-interstate capacity/system enhancement project on U.S. 20 from Correctionville to the west junction of U.S. 59. This will permit accelerated development of remaining sections of the U.S. 20 corridor in western lowa. He reviewed staff's recommendation.

Commissioner Yanney moved to accept the recommendation of staff and she thanked the people that worked hard and lobbied for the raise in the fuel tax. She realizes it will be hard on people as well but she thought it will prove to be worth it. Commissioner Boswell seconded the motion.

Commissioner Putney asked about the specific projects that will be part of the statewide project addressing spot locations. Mr. Anderson said the specific statewide projects haven't yet been identified. The $\$ 3$ million will be allocated equally to each of the six districts so about \$500,000 to each district. We want to get through the freeze/thaw cycle of winter but will be back to report on what those individual projects are, and those will be documented in the program as well.

All voted aye; motion carried.

## Proposed FY 2015 Iowa Highway Program Revisions

County
Interstate Stewardship
lowa
Polk
Pottawattamie Warren Non-Interstate Pavement Modernization

Union
Statewide
Non-Interstate Bridge Modernization
Decatur

Safety Specific

## Non-Interstate

## Capacity

System
Enhancement

Route Location

80 Various interchange ramps
235 W I-35/80 Interchange to $28^{\text {th }}$ Street
680 Co Rd L34 to I-80 WB
35 S of Badger Creek to S of IA 92

169 In Afton from SCL to Filmore Street
All Statewide

2 IMRL RR 6.1 mi W of Centerville
2 At Jct l-35

35 US 30 to Co Rd E15

Type of Work
Project Estimated Costs X \$1000

Pavement Rehab
1500
Pavement Rehab 6000
Pavement Rehab9107
Pavement Rehab ..... 3360
Grade \& Pave ..... 1275
Pavement Rehab ..... 3000
Bridge Deck Overlay ..... 704
Bridge Rehab ..... 985
Guardrail ..... 2769
Right of Way ..... 4500

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION <br> COMMISSION ORDER

Planning, Programming and Modal Division
Division/Bureau/Office Office of Systems Planning Order No. PPM-2015-44
Submitted by Craig Markley Phone No. 515-239-1027 Meeting Date March 10, 2015

Title State Recreational Trails Program Funding Recommendation
DISCUSSION/BACKGROUND:
Review of the current round of applications for remaining funding from the State Recreational Trails Program to refurbish bridges for trail use that have documented historical and architectural significance has been completed. The following recommendation will be presented.

Jurgensen Bridge Refurbishment (Winterset and Winterset Municipal Utility) \$500,000

## PROPOSAL/ACTION RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended the Commission approve the State Recreational Trails Program funding recommendation, as listed.
COMMISSION ACTION:

Craig Markley, Office of Systems Planning, said the State Recreational Trails program was created in 1988 with the purpose of developing and maintaining recreational trails and trail facilities for both motorized and non-motorized trail users. This funding is available to cities, counties, state agencies, local governments or non-profit organizations through an annual application program. Review of the January 2 round of applications for remaining funding in the State Recreational Trails program to refurbish, for trail use, bridges that document an historical and architectural significance has been completed. We received four historic trail bridge applications requesting $\$ 1,281,500$. A recommendation was presented to the Commission in the February workshop to award funding for one project for a total of $\$ 500,000$. We recommend the Commission approve the State Recreational Trails program funding recommendation as listed in the commission order.

Commissioner Boswell moved, Commissioner Miles seconded the Commission approve the State Recreational Trails Program funding as recommended. All voted aye.

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION <br> COMMISSION ORDER

Planning, Programming and Modal Division
Division/Bureau/Office Office of Systems Planning
Order No. PPM-2015-45
Submitted by Craig Markley Phone No. 515-239-1027 Meeting Date March 10, 2015
Title Revitalize Iowa's Sound Economy (RISE) Application - Burlington (Delegation)
DISCUSSION/BACKGROUND:

The city of Burlington submitted a RISE Immediate Opportunity application requesting a grant to assist in the improvement of approximately 1,250 feet of Division Street from West Burlington Avenue east to U.S. 61, a roundabout at West Burlington Avenue and West Avenue, and a right-turn lane on West Burlington Avenue located on the west side of town. This project is anticipated to be completed by November 2016.

This improvement is necessary to provide improved access to Silgan Containers, a solutions-based manufacturer of metal food containers. This company conforms to the legislative requirements of the RISE program.

The improvement will support:

- The creation of 120 new full-time jobs.
- $\$ 125$ million in associated capital investment.

The RISE cost per job assisted will be $\$ 11,000$ and there will be a total capital investment of $\$ 94.70$ for each RISE dollar requested.

PROPOSAL/ACTION RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended the Commission, based on the capital investment and job creation commitments, award a RISE grant of $\$ 1,320,000$ or up to 80 percent of the total RISE-eligible project cost, whichever is less, from the city share of the RISE Fund.
COMMISSION ACTION:

PPM-2015-45

Craig Markley, Office of Systems Planning, reviewed a RISE Immediate Opportunity application from the city of Burlington to improve approximately 1,250 feet of Division Street from West Burlington Avenue east to U.S. 61, a roundabout at West Burlington Avenue and West Avenue, and a right-turn lane on West Burlington Avenue located on the west side of town. The proposed improvements will result in the creation of 120 fulltime jobs in three years along with $\$ 125$ million in associated capital investments. Average wage of the created positions is $\$ 21.15$ per hour which is 144 percent of the average labor shed wage rate. RISE grant recommended is $\$ 1,320,000$. Local participation is 20 percent or $\$ 339,788$ for a total cost of $\$ 1,659,788$. RISE cost per job is $\$ 11,000$, and total capital investment per RISE dollar is $\$ 94.70$. He introduced David Toyer, Director of Economic Development for the Greater Burlington Partnership.

Mr. Toyer said they have been working on this project with Silgan Containers for quite some time. Silgan acquired a 35-acre parcel in Burlington and plans to build a 412,000 sq. ft. facility, and it is likely the company will expand before it all gets done. Part of the reason for the amount of acreage they wanted was they didn't want to be landlocked at a particular location. The 120 jobs is positive news right now with the closing of Radio Shack, Antenna Craft, and PPG. Obviously, economic development and the attraction of new opportunities are important for the community.

Mr. Toyer said Silgan Manufacturing is the largest metal can manufacturing company in the country. Silgan Containers falls under a larger company, Silgan holdings, so they have a separate division that does plastic containers and other types of food packaging materials. There are two Silgan facilities in lowa; one in Fort Dodge and one in Fort Madison and the location of a facility in Burlington does not impact the operations at those plants. The Fort Madison operation does smaller cans and closures, and Burlington will be doing larger metal cans; it is a different setup. Mr. Toyer said this project is located in their Flint Ridge Business Park which started with about 350 acres in the late 1980s. There are a number of other developments in the park and they are down to about 90 acres which they are actively looking for other economic development opportunities to locate there.

Jim Ferneau, City Manager, expressed appreciation for the Commission's consideration of their request. Silgan's wage level is tremendous, and their history as a community partner is very good. Mr. Ferneau said he was happy to see with the funding increase that the Commission is looking to complete U.S. 20 and that the Commission is considering the possibilities that can happen on the U.S. 61 corridor in their region as well. Mr. Markley reviewed staff's recommendation.

Commissioner Boswell moved, Commissioner Huber seconded the Commission, based on the capital investment and job creation commitments, award a RISE grant of $\$ 1,320,000$ or up to 80 percent of the total RISE-eligible project cost, whichever is less, from the city share of the RISE fund. Commissioner Reasner abstained; remaining commissioners voted aye; motion passed.

# RISE Immediate Opportunity Funding 

Economic Analysis Summary

## GENERAL INFORMATION <br> Applicant: Burlington

Project Location and Description: The city of Burlington submitted a RISE Immediate Opportunity application requesting a grant to assist in the improvement of approximately 1,250 feet of Division Street from West Burlington Avenue east to U.S. 61, a roundabout at West Burlington Avenue and West Avenue and a right turn lane on West Burlington Avenue located on the west side of town.

Associated Economic Development: The project provides improved access to Silgan Containers, a solutions-based manufacturer of metal food containers. The project will result in the creation of 120 new full-time jobs and $\$ 125,000,000$ in associated capital investment.

## ECONOMIC IMPACT

Total Roadway Project Cost: \$1,659,788
RISE Funds Requested: \$1,320,000; Grant; \$1,320,000; Loan \$----
Effective Project Cost to RISE Program: \$1,320,000
Local Participation: \$339,788; Sources: Burlington
Non-RISE Total Capital Investment: \$125,000,000
(Public: \$6,021,895; Private: \$118,978,105)
Direct Jobs Created: 120; (Other, Potential Future Jobs: 0)
Direct Jobs Retained: 0; Total Direct Jobs Assisted, Short-Term: 0 Number of Existing Jobs: 0
Project Average Wage Rate of New Jobs: \$21.15/hr.
100\% Average Laborshed Wage Rate: $\$ 14.73 / \mathrm{hr}$.

## KEY RATIOS

Local Match Ratio: 20\% (\$339,788/\$1,659,788)
RISE Cost Per Job Assisted (Created): $\$ 1,320,000 / 120=\$ 11,000.00$.
Total Capital Investment Per RISE Dollar: $\$ 125,000,000 / \$ 1,320,000=\$ 94.70$

## CONCLUSIONS

Narrative: It is recommended the Commission, based on the capital investment and job creation commitments; award a RISE grant of $\$ 1,320,000$ from the RISE fund or up to 20 percent of the total RISE-eligible project cost, whichever is less. Funding will come from the city share of the RISE Fund.
Burlington


## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION <br> COMMISSION ORDER

Planning, Programming and Modal Division
Division/Bureau/Office Office of Systems Planning $\qquad$ Order No. PPM-2015-46
Submitted by Craig Markley Phone No. 515-239-1027_Menting Date March 10, 2015
Title Revitalize Iowa's Sound Economy (RISE) Application - West Des Moines
DISCUSSION/BACKGROUND:

The city of West Des Moines submitted a RISE Local Development application in the February 2014 round requesting a grant to assist in roadway improvements along the Iowa 5 corridor on the southeast side of town. A revision to the scope of the grant was requested to add the construction of approximately 2,300 feet of White Crane Road and delete 400 feet of the northern section of South Eighth Street. No additional RISE funding was requested.

This project is necessary to provide access to more than 280 acres for professional office and data center purposes. This project is anticipated to be completed by December 2015.

The evaluation and rating for the project will be discussed.

## PROPOSAL/ACTION RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended the Commission approve the modification of the previously awarded RISE grant to include the construction of 2,300 feet of White Crane Road and the deletion of 400 feet of South Eighth Street.
COMMISSION ACTION:

PPM-2015-46
Craig Markley, Office of System Planning, said the city of West Des Moines submitted a RISE local development application in the February 2014 round requesting a grant to assist in roadway improvements along the lowa 5 corridor on the southeast side of town. A revision to the scope of the project was requested to add the construction of approximately 2,300 feet of White Crane Road and deletion of 400 feet of the northern section of South Eighth Street. No additional RISE funding was requested.

This project is necessary to provide access to more than 280 acres for professional office and data center purposes. The project is anticipated to be completed by December 2015. The total revised RISE eligible roadway project cost is $\$ 7,237,838$. The city of West Des Moines has requested to keep the original approved amount of $\$ 3,519,375$ or up to 50 percent in RISE funding, whichever is less, as awarded at the July 8, 2014, Transportation Commission business meeting. He reviewed staff's recommendation.

Commission Miles moved, Commissioner Yanney seconded the Commission approve the modification of the previously awarded RISE grant to include the construction of 2,300 feet of White Crane Road and the deletion of 400 feet of South Eighth Street. All voted aye.
West Des Moines


## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION <br> COMMISSION ORDER

## Planning, Programming and Modal Division

Division/Bureau/Office Order No. PPM-2015-47

Submitted by Craig Markley $\qquad$ Phone No. 515-239-1027 Meeting Date March 10, 2015

Title Revitalize Iowa's Sound Economy (RISE) Application - Iowa City (Delegation)

## DISCUSSION/BACKGROUND:

Iowa City submitted a RISE Local Development application in the February 2015 round requesting a grant to assist in construction of approximately 1,010 feet of Northgate Drive located on the northeast side of town.

This project is necessary to provide access to nine lots totaling almost 14 acres for professional office purposes. This project is anticipated to be completed by November 2015.

The evaluation and rating for the project will be discussed.

## PROPOSAL/ACTION RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended the Commission, based on the capital investment commitment and potential for future job creation, award a RISE grant of $\$ 283,027$ or up to 50 percent of the total RISE-eligible project cost, whichever is less, from the city share of the RISE Fund.


Craig Markley, Office of Systems Planning, said the city of lowa City submitted a RISE Local Development application requesting a grant to assist in construction of approximately 1,010 feet of Northgate Drive located on the northeast side of town. This project is necessary to provide access to nine lots totaling almost 14 acres for professional office purposes. Under RISE local development criteria, the project received a rating of 40 points. Total estimated project cost is $\$ 566,054$. Iowa City is requesting a RISE grant of $\$ 283,027$ and will provide a 50 percent local match. He introduced Kent Ralston, Director, MPO Johnson County.

Mr. Ralston said he is also the Transportation Planner for lowa City. This project is an extension of an already existing and successful office park, North Gate Drive Office Park and will open up a significant amount of land for development. He said the intent of the RISE application is to increase the state's economic tax base and that is exactly what this project will do.

Mr. Markley reviewed staff's recommendation.
Commissioner Reasner moved, Commissioner Miles seconded the Commission, based on the capital investment commitment and potential for future job creation, award a RISE grant of $\$ 283,027$ or up to 50 percent of the total RISE-eligible project cost, whichever is less, from the city share of the RISE Fund. All voted aye.

| Applicant: lowa City | Multiyear?: No <br> Multijurisdiction?: No |
| :--- | :---: |
| ROAD PROJECT LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION: | To construct approximately 1,010 feet of Northgate Drive located |
| on the northeast side of town. |  |

ASSOCIATED ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT: This project is necessary to provide access to nine lots totaling almost 14 acres for professional office purposes.

| PROJECT FINANCING: |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Roadway Project Cost: |  | $\$ 566,054$ | Local Match (Total): | $\$ 283,027$ |
| RISE (Total): |  | $\$ 283,027$ | Up-Front: | $\$ 283,027$ |
| Grant: |  | NPV of Loan Repayment: | 0 |  |
| Loan: | Yrs. | 0 | Effective Match Percent: | 50 |
| Loan Terms: | Int.: | $0 \%$ | Up-front Participation Sources: |  |
|  |  |  | Private: |  |
|  |  |  | Public: | $\$ 283,027$ |
|  |  |  | Local Match (Total): | $\$ 283,027$ |

PROJECT EVALUATION:
Development Potential (35): 15
Economic Impact and Cost Effect. (20): 5
Local Commit. and Initiative (35): 15
Transportation Need (4): 3
Local Economic Need (6): 2

Total Rating: 40

Jobs: $\quad 0$

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:
Award a grant of $\$ 283,027$ or up to 50 percent of the RISE-eligible project cost, whichever is less. Funding will come from the city share of the RISE Fund.


## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

COMMISSION ORDER


## DISCUSSION/BACKGROUND:

The city of Hudson submitted a RISE Local Development application in the February 2015 round requesting a grant to assist in construction of approximately 918 feet of Riders Road and 432 feet of Fast Lane located on the north side of town.

This project is necessary to provide access to six lots totaling more than 11 acres for industrial, manufacturing, and professional office purposes. This project is anticipated to be completed by July 2016.

The evaluation and rating for the project will be discussed.

## PROPOSAL/ACTION RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended the Commission, based on the capital investment commitment and potential for future job creation, award a RISE grant of $\$ 551,600$ or up to 50 percent of the total RISE-eligible project cost, whichever is less, from the city share of the RISE Fund.
COMMISSION ACTION:

PPM-2015-48
Craig Markley, Office of Systems Planning, said the city of Hudson submitted a RISE Local Development application requesting a grant to assist in construction of approximately 918 feet of Riders Road and 432 feet of Fast Lane located on the north side of town. This project is necessary to provide access to six lots totaling more than 11 acres for industrial, manufacturing, and professional office purposes. Under the RISE local development criteria, the project received a rating of 39 points. Total estimated project cost is $\$ 1,103,200$. The city of Hudson is requesting a RISE grant of $\$ 551,600$ and will provide a 50 percent local match. He reviewed staff's recommendation.

Commission Putney moved, Commissioner Yanney seconded the Commission, based on the capital investment commitment and potential for future job creation, award a RISE grant of $\$ 551,600$ or up to 50 percent of the total RISE-eligible project cost, whichever is less, from the city share of the RISE Fund. All voted aye.

| Applicant: City of Hudson | Multiyear?: No <br> Multijurisdiction?: No |
| :--- | :---: |
| ROAD PROJECT LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION: |  |
| located on the north side of town. |  |

ASSOCIATED ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT: This project is necessary to provide access to six lots totaling more than 11 acres for light industrial, manufacturing and professional office purposes.


## STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Award a grant of $\$ 551,600$ or up to 50 percent of the RISE-eligible project cost, whichever is less. Funding will come from the city share of the RISE Fund.
Hudson


